UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,266	09/12/2003	Scott Gray	006030.00004	7794
22908 BANNER & W	7590 03/13/200 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			SEYE, ABDOU K	
	SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/661,266	GRAY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Abdou Karim Seye	2194		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-39 and 42-45 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-39 and 42-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 i Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ one drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/661,266 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on November 16, 2007 has been received and entered. The amendment amended Claims 1, 10, 15, 38, 42 and 45; and cancelled claims 6, 9 and 40-41. The currently pending claims considered below are Claims 1-5, 7-39 and 42-45.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-14, 18-39 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Netter (US 20020038388)**.
- Claims 1, 36,38 and 42, Netter teaches a product and method for monitoring user actions on a computer system, comprising:
- (a) determining, with a first application programming interface (API), whether a first screen object has been acted upon by a user, the first API being coordinate independent and application message independent with respect to the first screen object (Fig. 1, paragraph 19-20); and

Art Unit: 2194

(b) in response to (a), capturing a user event, from any of a plurality of applications, associated with the first screen object (Fig. 1; paragraph 20; 88; Fig. 5; paragraph 117-121)

- (c) representing the captured user event as an event entry in a file (FIG. 1; paragraph 25); and
- (d) playing back the user event from the event entry of the file to reproduce the captured user event (paragraph 21; Fig. 9; paragraph 155-161).

As to Claim 2, Netter teaches, (e) processing the captured user event (paragraph 20).

As to Claim 3, Netter teaches, wherein the first API comprises an Active Accessessibility® API (paragraph 20; 119; the claimed elements "activeX and "COM extension" of Netter's reference meet the claimed limitation of the claim).

As to claim Claim 4, Netter teaches, (e) determining, with a second API, whether a second screen object has been acted upon by the user (Fig. 2; paragraph 102-104; Fig. 5; paragraph 119-123).

As to Claim 5, Netter teaches, (e) determining, with a second API, whether the first screen object has been acted upon by the user (Fig. 2; paragraph 102-104; Fig. 5; paragraph 119-123).

Art Unit: 2194

As to Claim 7, Netter teaches, wherein, (ii) storing the file (paragraph 25)

As to Claim 8, Netter further teaches 7, wherein (e) (iii) retrieving the file (Fig. 6; paragraph 25; 138; 164 and 96).

As to Claim 10, Netter teaches, wherein (e) (ii) modifying a replayed user event by editing an attribute of the event entry of the file (Fig. 6; paragraph 129-131).

As to claim 11, Netter further teaches, wherein (ii) (1) modifying the event entry to represent a modified user event (Fig. 6; paragraph 129-131).

As to claim 12, Netter teaches, wherein the file comprises a text file (paragraph 162).

As to claim 13, <u>Netter</u> teaches, wherein the text file complies with an extensible Markup Language (XML) format (paragraph 98).

As to claim 14, Netter further teaches, (f) inputting a command, through a user interface, that is indicative of subsequent processing of the user event (paragraph 77; 96).

As to claim 30, Netter teaches, wherein the command is indicative of playing back a file, wherein (e) comprises: (i) enumerating a desktop; (ii) in response to (i), drilling

down through a hierarchy to find a matching screen object in accordance with at least one attribute of the event entry; and (iii) if the matching screen object is not found, stopping playback of the file; and (iv) if the matching screen object is found, invoking a recorded action that is associated with the user event (Fig. 9; paragraph 147; 159-160)

As to claim 18-29, 31-35, 37, 39, 43-45, they are rejected for the same reason as the claims above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kush</u>.

 Netter (US 20020038388) in view of <u>Einbinder (5402167)</u>.

As to claim 15, <u>Netter</u> teaches inputting a command, through a user interface, that is indicative of subsequent processing of the user event as in claim 14 above; and further teaches (ii) determining whether a cursor is positioned over the first screen object; and

(iii) if the cursor is over the first object, accessing and recording parameters associated with the first screen object (Fig. 3; paragraph 108).

However, <u>Netter</u> does not explicitly teach, wherein (e) (i) adjusting a recording speed associated with the user event based on a recording speed input, the recording speed being associated with a minimum duration of the user event for recording the user event.

Whereas, in the same field of endeavor <u>Einbinder</u> discloses a speed control input associated normal, regular and random recording speed and a switch controlled by a remote control, a user event; and adjusted frame speed observed in a monitor (abstract; col. 3, lines 10-22 and lines 55-67).

It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Netter's invention with Einbinder's invention to include the functionality of adjusting recording speed based on the user input. One would be motivated to adjust recording speed in order to provide a suitable resolution by responding to motion, sound or other desired conditions sensed in an area (Einbinder's; col. 3, lines 15-23).

As to claims 16-17, they are rejected for the same reasons as the claims above.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Abdou Seye whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/661,266 Page 7

Art Unit: 2194

(571) 270-1062. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday

from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, contact

the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson at (571) 272-3718. The fax phone

number for formal or official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (571) 273-8300.

Draft or informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be

submitted directly to the examiner at (571) 273-6722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone

number is (571) 272-3600.

AKS

February 28, 2008

/Thomson D. William/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2194